

Submitter: Peter Reveley

I wish to speak to all 4 submissions

2nd urban bridge

I asked the council to not continue with the Chalmers Avenue option. If the bridge goes there the excess traffic through residential east would be ridiculous, especially Bridge St with many more big trucks. I am very disappointed that 2 councillors spoke at a public meeting declaring their favour for the ChalmersAve option, rather than saying put in a submission .to cover their thoughts and their options. I hope these councillors have been spoken to about being impartial.

Public Toilets

There is not enough money in the budget to provide new and improved toilets for this district. Mt Somers will maybe get an upgrade to the reserve toilet 14 years after it was first programmed. One wonders why there are no public toilets on the east side of Ashburton. You have a cycling and walking strategy but no public toilets along the way in the east unlike on the west side. Do you councillors consider that people in the east don't deserve at least one toilet. Please let this LTP show you councillors do think the east people be considered for a toilet.

Stock Water

I wonder how 2.5 staff and some management could possibly spend that amount of money. The Ashburton river is desperate for more surface water but ADC are being excessively slow on using irrigation water and other options. Much of the poor quality water in the stock races is lost in the ground but adding to the futility of the issue ADC are going to spend at least another \$1.5 m on fish screens which should be redundant very soon after their installation. The money could be offered to the RDR to help with their screen which should help with reciprocal goodwill. I wonder why ADC can't get a water exchange agreement with the irrigation companies to save very precious surface water.

Main Submission Topics

Water meters. Elderly persons housing

I am extremely disappointed that ADC are steering people to respond on those 2 as the main items for the next 10 years. More main line meters would show the most water is lost from the system and elderly persons housing is a minor importance for most, this should have been a UAGC rate and most ratepayers will be unaware this is now a general rate, both items are very lightweight in dollar terms compared to the other many major spending items. Roading should have been included as a major submission item.